IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MALIK JONES	: Civil Action
Plaintiff v.	: : : NO:
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA ET AL.	: Formerly : Court of Common Pleas : Trial Division—Civil : August Term, 2023
Defendants	: No. 230802437 : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To the Honorable Judges of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, defendant, City of Philadelphia, (hereinafter "petitioner"), through its counsel, Derek Kane, Deputy City Solicitor, respectfully petitions for the removal of this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In support thereof, defendant state the following:

- 1. On August 22, 2023 the plaintiff initiated this action by Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia, August Term, 2023; No. 230802437. (Exhibit "A.")
- 2. On August 24, 2023 the plaintiff filed served the Complaint on the City of Philadelphia only.
- 3. In Counts I, and II of the Complaint, the plaintiff seeks relief against the petitioner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for alleged deprivations of his rights protected by the Constitution of the United States. (Id.)
- 4. 28 U.S.C. § 1331 states that "[t]he district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States."

5. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original jurisdiction over the claims alleged by Plaintiff, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

6. No other Defendant has been served process, and so their consent is not required for this removal according to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2)(a).

True and correct copies of this Notice of Removal with accompanying exhibits and

separate Notice to State Court of Filing of Notice of Removal, a copy of which is attached hereto

as Exhibit "B," will be served upon the plaintiff and filed with the Prothonotary of the Court of

Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, in accordance with the provisions of 28

U.S.C. § 1446(d).

7.

8. In filing this Notice of Removal, the petitioner does not waive any available

defenses in this action.

Wherefore, petitioner, City of Philadelphia respectfully requests that the captioned

Complaint be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

BY: /s/ Derek Kane

Derek Kane Deputy City Solicitor Attorney I.D. No. 316941

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-683-5374

Date: September 24, 2023

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MALIK JONES	: Civ	il Action
MALIK JUNES	: Civ	

:

Plaintiff :

v. : NO: _____

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA ET AL. : Formerly

: Court of Common Pleas: Trial Division—Civil: August Term, 2023

Defendants : **No. 230802437**

110. 25000245

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

:

NOTICE OF FILING OF REMOVAL

TO: DEVLIN, DANIEL
1219 SPRUCE STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19107
(215)422-4193
ddevlin@mtvlaw.com

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on September 24, 2023 the defendant, City of Philadelphia, filed, in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, a verified Notice of Removal.

A copy of this Notice of Removal is attached hereto and is also being filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1446(e).

BY: /s/ Derek Kane

Derek Kane Deputy City Solicitor Attorney I.D. No. 316941

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-683-5374

215-683-5445

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MALIK JONES	: Civil Action
Plaintiff	: :
v.	: NO:
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA ET AL.	Formerly
	: Court of Common Pleas : Trial Division—Civil
	: August Term, 2023
Defendants	: No. 230802437
	: · IURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Derek Kane, Deputy City Solicitor, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Notice of Removal has been served upon the plaintiff's attorney on the date indicated below via email:

TO: DEVLIN, DANIEL
1219 SPRUCE STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19107
(215)422-4193
ddevlin@mtvlaw.com

BY: /s/ Derek Kane

Derek Kane

Deputy City Solicitor Attorney I.D. No. 316941

Date: September 24, 2023

Exhibit "A"

VAN DER VEEN, HARTSHORN & LEVIN

BY: Daniel J. Devlin ID No. 306573 1219 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

P: (215) 546-1000 F: (215) 546-8529



ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

MALIK JONES : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 3938 N. 9th Street : PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Philadelphia, PA 19140 : CIVIL ACTION

:

Plaintiff, : AUGUST TERM, 2023

.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

v.

c/o Law Department : No.

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102

OFFICER BRYAN OUTTERBRIDGE

BADGE #6357 :

Individually and as a police officer for the :

Philadelphia Police Department : c/o Law Department :

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102

OFFICER JAMES TUMOLO : BADGE #2526 :

Individually and as a police officer for the :

Philadelphia Police Department :

c/o Law Department :

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

OFFICER TYRICK ARMSTEAD

BADGE #3377 :

Individually and as a police officer for the :

Philadelphia Police Department : c/o Law Department :

c/o Law Department : 1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

:

JOHN DOE PHILADELPHIA POLICE

OFFICERS #1-10

Individually and as police officers for the :

Philadelphia Police Department :

c/o Law Department : 1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Defendants.

NOTICE TO DEFEND

NOTICE

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION LAWYER REFERRAL AND INFORMATION SERVICE

> One Reading Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 Telephone: 215-238-6333

AVISO

Le han demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas expuestas en las páginas siguientes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de la fecha de la demanda y la notificación. Hace falta asentar una comparesencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomará medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previo aviso o notificación. Además, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO IMMEDIATAMENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENDE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCIÓN SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

ASSOCIACION DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA SERVICO DE REFERENCIA E INFORMACION LEGAL

> One Reading Center Filadelfia, Pennsylvania 19107 Telefono: 215-238-6333

VAN DER VEEN, HARTSHORN & LEVIN

BY: Daniel J. Devlin

v.

ID No. 306573 1219 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

P: (215) 546-1000

F: (215) 546-8529 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

MALIK JONES : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 3938 N. 9th Street : PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Philadelphia, PA 19140 : CIVIL ACTION

:

Plaintiff, : AUGUST TERM, 2023

.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

c/o Law Department : No.

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102

OFFICER BRYAN OUTTERBRIDGE

BADGE #6357

Individually and as a police officer for the :

Philadelphia Police Department :

c/o Law Department : 1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102

OFFICER JAMES TUMOLO : BADGE #2526 :

Individually and as a police officer for the :

Philadelphia Police Department :

c/o Law Department :

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

OFFICER TYRICK ARMSTEAD

BADGE #3377 :

Individually and as a police officer for the Philadelphia Police Department :

c/o Law Department :

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

• /

and

JOHN DOE PHILADELPHIA POLICE

OFFICERS #1-10

Individually and as police officers for the :

Philadelphia Police Department : c/o Law Department :

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102

:

Defendants. :

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff Malik Jones is an adult individual who, at all times relevant hereto, resided at the address indicated above.
- 2. Defendant City of Philadelphia is a municipality existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business located at the address indicated above.
- 3. Defendant City of Philadelphia owns, operates, manages, directs and controls the Philadelphia Police Department, a law enforcement agency, which employs the other named defendants.
- 4. Defendant City of Philadelphia is the legal entity and municipal policymaker responsible for the Philadelphia Police Department and possesses final policy-making and decision-making authority over the Philadelphia Police Department and its officers pursuant to state and local law.
- 5. Defendant Officer Bryan Outterbridge is an adult individual who, at all times relevant hereto, was employed at the address indicated above as a police officer with the Philadelphia Police Department. He is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 6. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Outterbridge was employed as a police officer by the City of Philadelphia Police Department and was acting with the course and scope

of his employment and authority, under the color of state law and pursuant to the policies, practices and/or customs of the City of Philadelphia Police Department.

- 7. Defendant Officer James Tumolo is an adult individual who, at all times relevant hereto, was employed at the address indicated above as a police officer with the Philadelphia Police Department. He is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Tumolo was employed as a police officer by the City of Philadelphia Police Department and was acting with the course and scope of his employment and authority, under the color of state law and pursuant to the policies, practices and/or customs of the City of Philadelphia Police Department.
- 9. Defendant Officer Tyrick Armstead is an adult individual who, at all times relevant hereto, was employed at the address indicated above as a police officer with the Philadelphia Police Department. He is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 10. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Armstead was employed as a police officer by the City of Philadelphia Police Department and was acting with the course and scope of his employment and authority, under the color of state law and pursuant to the policies, practices and/or customs of the City of Philadelphia Police Department.
- 11. Defendant John Doe Philadelphia Police Officers #1-10 are adult individuals who, at all times relevant hereto, were employed at the address indicated above as police officers with the Philadelphia Police Department. They are being sued in their individual capacity.
- 12. Defendant John Doe Philadelphia Police Officers #1-10 is a designation averred to be fictitious per the requirements of Pa. R.C.P. 2005(a)(2). After conducting a reasonable search to determine identities, Plaintiff uses the John Doe designations as he was unable to determine the identities of the offending police officers.

13. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant John Doe Philadelphia Police Officers #1-

10 were employed as a police officer by the City of Philadelphia Police Department and were

acting with the course and scope of their employment and authority, under the color of state law

and pursuant to the policies, practices and/or customs of the City of Philadelphia Police

Department.

14. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant City of Philadelphia was acting through its

employees, agents, servants, workmen, contractors and/or representatives, all of whom were

acting within the course and scope of their employment and authority.

15. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants acted individually and/or through their

employees and/or agents under color of law and under the color of the statutes, customs,

ordinances and usage of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the City of Philadelphia.

16. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants acted under color of state law and acted

jointly and in concert with each other. Each individual Defendant had the duty and opportunity

to protect the Plaintiff from the unlawful actions of the other Defendants but each Defendant

failed and refused to perform such duty, thereby proximately causing Plaintiff's injuries.

17. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants and their employees/agents had the power

to exercise his or her discretion to make decisions, take action or inaction, adopt policies, permit

practices or utilize procedures within each respective role, without oversight or with meaningful

review.

18. At all times relevant hereto, all Defendants acted in concert and conspiracy to

improperly and/or falsely arrest, assault, search, imprison, inflict emotional distress and

otherwise deprive Plaintiff of rights guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 19. On or about May 29, 2020, Plaintiff was unlawfully arrested by Defendant Outterbridge without cause or justification in the area of 3900 N. 9th Street, Philadelphia, PA.
- 20. At the aforesaid time and place, Plaintiff attempted to explain that he had not committed any violations of the law.
- 21. Thereafter, Defendants Outterbridge and/or John Doe Philadelphia Police Officers #1-10 detained, arrested, and falsely imprisoned Plaintiff and subjected him to an unlawful search and seizure.
- 22. Plaintiff was thereafter charged with violating 35 Pa. C.S.A. Section 780-113(a)(30); 35 Pa. C.S.A. Section 780-113(a)(16) and 18 Pa. C.S.A. Section 903(c).
- 23. On or about August 31, 2021, all charges against Plaintiff were dismissed by the Court for lack of evidence.
- 24. Plaintiff did not commit any offenses against the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the United States or the City of Philadelphia or engage in any conduct which justified the actions of the Defendants.
- 25. The actions of all Defendants were undertaken knowingly, intentionally, negligently, recklessly, maliciously and/or with reckless disregard of the truth.
- 26. The actions of all Defendants were undertaken in the absence of probable cause or other valid or reasonable lawful basis.
- 27. As a direct result of the actions of all Defendants, Plaintiff was deprived of the rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of all Defendants, Plaintiff was forced to miss time from work and incur various expenses.

29. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of all Defendants, Plaintiff sustained loss of liberty and financial losses, all to his great detriment and loss.

30. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of all Defendants, Plaintiff continues to suffer a loss of his enjoyment of life, emotional distress, anguish, embarrassment and extreme humiliation, and has and/or will incur expenses in connection therewith and will continue to suffer the same for an indefinite period of time in the future, to his great detriment and loss.

31. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff resulted solely from the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of the Defendants, and was due in no manner whatsoever to any act or failure to act on the part of Plaintiff.

COUNT I PLAINTIFF JONES

v. ALL DEFENDANTS

FALSE ARREST, FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND ABUSE OF PROCESS

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto the preceding paragraphs, as though same were set forth herein at length.
- 33. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants, acting in concert, conspiracy and/or jointly, did knowingly, intentionally, negligently, maliciously and/or recklessly, falsely arrested, imprisoned and prosecuted Plaintiff in the absence of probable cause or other valid and reasonable lawful basis, causing significant injury to Plaintiff as described hereinabove.
- 34. Defendants violated Plaintiff's civil rights under 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 and the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution by falsely arresting Plaintiff, falsely imprisoning Plaintiff and subjecting Plaintiff to an abuse of process.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious and intentional conduct of all Defendants, Plaintiff sustained the injuries and damages that are described hereinabove.

36. The actions of all Defendants exceeded the normal standards of decent conduct and were willful, malicious, oppressive, outrageous and unjustifiable and, therefore, punitive damages are necessary and appropriate.

COUNT II PLAINTIFF JONES

ALL DEFENDANTS MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto the preceding paragraphs, as though same were set forth herein at length.
- 38. As described hereinabove, Defendants intentionally, maliciously, and without probable cause, initiated and caused Plaintiff to be subjected to criminal proceedings.
 - 39. The aforementioned criminal proceedings were terminated in Plaintiff's favor.
- 40. Defendants initiated the aforementioned criminal proceedings without probable cause and for a purpose other than bringing Plaintiff to justice.
- 41. Defendants violated Plaintiff's civil rights under 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 and the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution by maliciously prosecuting Plaintiff.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious and intentional acts of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered a deprivation of liberty and the injuries and damages that are described hereinabove.
- 43. The actions of all Defendants exceeded the normal standards of decent conduct and were willful, malicious, oppressive, outrageous and unjustifiable and, therefore, punitive damages are necessary and appropriate.

COUNT III PLAINTIFF JONES

ALL DEFENDANTS INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto the preceding paragraphs, as though same were set forth herein at length.
- 45. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants, acting in concert, conspiracy and/or jointly, did knowingly, intentionally, negligently, maliciously and/or recklessly, falsely arrested, imprisoned and prosecuted Plaintiff in the absence of probable cause or other valid and reasonable lawful basis, causing significant injury to Plaintiff.
- 46. All Defendants engaged in a pattern of willful, intentional and outrageous activity as described hereinabove that was so outrageous in character and extreme in degree as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency.
- 47. At all times relevant hereto, All Defendants knew or should have known that their conduct would cause Plaintiff to experience severe emotional distress and mental trauma.
- 48. The conduct of all Defendants was an outrageous violation of societal norms and went so far beyond all possible bounds of decency as to be regarded as atrocious and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
- 49. The conduct of all Defendants was a result of willful, intentional, reckless and outrageous indifference to the highly unreasonable risk of harm and conscious indifference to Plaintiff's health, safety and welfare.
- 50. As a direct and proximate result of the intentional conduct of all Defendants, Plaintiff sustained physical injuries, damages and severe emotional distress, which has required and/or will require Plaintiff to undergo medical, psychological, mental health and/or psychiatric treatment.

51. The actions of all Defendants exceeded the normal standards of decent conduct and were willful, malicious, oppressive, outrageous and unjustifiable and, therefore, punitive damages are necessary and appropriate.

COUNT IV PLAINTIFF JONES

ALL DEFENDANTS NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto the preceding paragraphs, as though same were set forth herein at length.
- 53. All Defendants engaged in a pattern of conduct which they knew or should have known involved an unreasonable risk of causing emotional distress and that emotional distress, if it were caused, might result in illness, bodily harm, severe emotional distress and/or mental trauma.
- 54. The conduct of all Defendants was an outrageous violation of societal norms and went so far beyond all possible bounds of decency as to be regarded as atrocious and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent conduct of all Defendants, Plaintiff has sustained physical injuries, damages and severe emotional distress, which has required and/or will require Plaintiff to undergo medical, psychological, mental health and/or psychiatric treatment.

COUNT V PLAINTIFF JONES v. ALL DEFENDANTS CIVIL CONSPIRACY

56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto the preceding paragraphs, as though same were set forth herein at length.

57. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants, acting in concert, conspiracy and/or jointly, committed acts against Plaintiff, including malicious prosecution, false arrest, false imprisonment and unlawful search in the absence of probable cause or other valid and reasonable lawful basis. Thereafter, the defendant officers made statements to police, the district attorney and others in order to conceal their unlawful and unconstitutional conduct in an attempt to deny Plaintiff access to the courts and to due process.

58. The conduct of the defendant officers, acting in concert and conspiracy, was undertaken knowingly, intelligently, intentionally, negligently, recklessly and/or with malice and/or the reckless disregard of the truth.

59. The conduct of Defendants was undertaken for the purpose of impeding, hindering, obstructing or defeating the due course of justice in Pennsylvania with intent to deny to Plaintiff the equal protection of the laws and with intent to injure him for lawfully enforcing or attempting to enforce his right to equal protection of the laws in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1985.

- 60. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious and intentional acts of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered the injuries and damages that are described hereinabove.
- 61. The actions of all Defendants exceeded the normal standards of decent conduct and were willful, malicious, oppressive, outrageous and unjustifiable and, therefore, punitive damages are necessary and appropriate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants and each of them, jointly and severally, for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, along with interest, costs, attorney's fees and such other relief as this Court shall consider to be fair, just and equitable under the circumstances.

VAN DER VEEN, HARTSHORN & LEVIN

/s/ Daniel J. Devlin

DATE: 8/21/23 BY:

Daniel J. Devlin, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I, Malik Jones, hereby verify that the statements made in the foregoing document are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

The undersigned understands that the statements therein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

	/s/ Malik Jones	
Dated: 8/21/23		
	Malik Jones	

Exhibit "B"

City of Philadelphia Law Department Derek Kane, ESQUIRE Deputy City Solicitor Attorney Identification No. 316941 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595 (215) 683-5374 (215) 683-5397 (fax) derek.kane@phila.gov Attorney for Defendants

:

MALIK JONES,

: PHILADELPHIA COUNTY : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Plaintiff,

: AUGUST TERM 2023

v.

: No. 230802437

:

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.,

:

Defendants.

:

NOTICE OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To the Prothonotary:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Defendant, City of Philadelphia, by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby give notice that they have filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania the attached Notice of Removal (without exhibits) of the above-captioned action.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446, the filing of this Notice effects the removal of this action to the federal court, and this Court is directed to "proceed no further unless and until the case is remanded." 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 24, 2023 /s/ Derek Kane

Derek Kane, Esquire

Case 2:23-cv-03726 WRTD competed SFIE Φ 9/25/23 Page 22 of 24

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(DEFENDANT	S				
Malik Jones			City of Philadelphia, OUTTERBRIDGE, BRYAN, TUMOLO, JAMES, ARMSTEAD, TYRICK						
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff P	hiladelphia		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		NOTE: IN LAND O		S. <i>PLAINTIFF CASES O</i> ATION CASES, USE TI D INVOLVED.		OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known	ı)				
	L 1219 SPRUCE ST			•		hiladelphia Law	Departme	nt	
PHILADELPHIA	PA 19107			One Parkway	Building)			
(215)422-4193	ICTION		ш ст	1515 Arch Stre					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I (For Diversity Cases Only			Place an "X" in and One Box for .		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				EF 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Prior of Business In T	incipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		5	<u></u> 5
W. MATHER OF SHIP	n.			en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation		6	<u></u> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	E(ORFEITURE/PENALTY		ere for: <u>Nature of S</u> BANKRUPTCY		SCRIPTION STATUT	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure	\neg	Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False (
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	423	Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State F	ım (31 US0 a)) Reapportion	С
Lagrangian 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury				PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights	410 Antitru 430 Banks		ng
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			—	Patent Patent - Abbreviated	450 Comm 460 Deport		
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product				New Drug Application	470 Racket	teer Influer	
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	гу 📙	LABOR	_	Trademark Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consu	t Organiza mer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	71	0 Fair Labor Standards	7	Act of 2016	(15 US	SC 1681 or	r 1692)
190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	72	Act 0 Labor/Management	so	CIAL SECURITY	485 Teleph Protec	tion Act	mer
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	_	HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/ 850 Securi		adition/
190 Franchise	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical	863	Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Excha	nge	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	IS 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	=	SSID Title XVI RSI (405(g))	890 Other		
210 Land Condemnation	X 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement			893 Enviro	nmental M	latters
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting 442 Employment	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act		Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	895 Freedo Act	m of Infor	mation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence				or Defendant)	896 Arbitra		
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	8/1	IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	899 Admin	ustrative Preview or Ap	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe		2 Naturalization Application	on			y Decision	
	Other	550 Civil Rights		5 Other Immigration Actions			State S		01
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	Commentent			I				
1 Original x 2 Ren	moved from 3 I	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Rein Reop		ferred fror ner District fy)			Multidis Litigation Direct F	on -
WE CAUCE OF ACTIV	42 U.S.C. § 1983	tute under which you ar	e filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional si	tatutes unle	ss diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of car Alleged violations of Fo								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 23	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	D D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	_	n complai	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE									
DATE 09/24/2023		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY (OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			/						
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. **Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes. V.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:23-cv-03726-**VNB**re**D**scaires **ptstri Firetoor A**25/23 Page 24 of 24 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:				
Address of Defendant:				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes □ No □ previously terminated action in this court? 				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier Yes No numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No case filed by the same individual?				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case no this court except as noted above.				
DATE: Must sign here				
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plain	ntiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversi	ity Jurisdiction Cases:			
□ 2. FELA □ 2. A □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. A □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. M □ 5. Patent □ 5. M □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 6. O □ 7. Civil Rights □ 7. P □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 8. P □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. A	Assurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Please specify): Products Liability Products Liability – Asbestos All other Diversity Cases Please specify):			
ARBITRATION CERTIFIC				
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)				
I,, counsel of record <i>or</i> pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:				
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	and obtain, the damages recoverable in this ervir denot case			
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: Sign here if applicable Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plain.	e			